

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,169		07/14/2003	Francisco Javier Guerrero Mercado	P05514 (NAT115-05514)	5012
23990	7590	04/19/2005		EXAMINER	
DOCKET			LAM, TUAN THIEU		
P.O. DRAWER 800889 DALLAS, TX 75380				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Dieblio, III 1000				2816	
				DATE MAILED: 04/19/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Н	4.			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/619,169	GUERRERO MERCADO, FRANCISCO JAVIER				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tuan T. Lam	2816				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
• •	\					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) o will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro b, cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 F	ebruary 2005.					
This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-3, 7-8, 9-11, 15-20</u> is/are rejected.						
						7) Claim(s) <u>4-6 and 12-14</u> is/are objected to.
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 November 2003 is/a	ire: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obje	ected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		- , ,				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document		-N N				
2. Certified copies of the priority document3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
application from the International Bureau		ved in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ved.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	The atom Application (1 10-102)				

Application/Control Number: 10/619,169 Page 2

Art Unit: 2816

DETAILED ACTION

This is a response to the amendment filed 2/14/2005. Claims 1-20 are pending and are under examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 7-8 and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. In this instant, the specification has failed to describe as to how "the pulsed bias curent comprises a pulse at one edge of a system clock and an output of the comparator is sampled at another edge of the system clock" of claim 7 is made and/or used. Page 13, lines 12-14 of the specification has briefly mentioned that "pulse generator produces a 390ns wide pulse on every falling edged of the clk signal, and the comparator output out is sampled with the clk signal's rising edge". Figure 3 shows a black box labeled as "Pulse Generator" 301. A careful examination of the box 301, it is noted that there is not clock signal being shown. Similarly, the comparator 100 does not show the clock signal. Therefore, it is unclear as to how the pulsed bias curent comprises a pulse at one edge of a system clock and an output of the comparator is sampled at another edge of the system clock is performed without undue experimentation. Clarification and correction are required.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,169

Art Unit: 2816

Regarding claims 8 and 17, the specification fails to describe as to how "the comparator selectively operates in a first mode in which the input gain stage is biased by a bias current with a defined first level value or in a second mode in which the input gain stage is biased a bias current with a different second level value" is enabled. Page 11, lines 6-25; page 12, lines 1-25 of the specification uses waveforms diagrams of figures 2A to 2C discusses the slow and fast operational modes of the comparator. Figures 4A and 4B shows the detailed structure of the comparator. However, it is unclear as to how the circuit shown in figures 4A and 4B enable operatively in first and second modes as called for in claim 8. That is, it is unclear as to how the comparator is selected to operate in a first mode in which the input gain stage is biased by a bias current with a defined first level value or when the comparator is selected to operate in a second mode the input gain stage is biased a bias current with a different second level value without undue experimentation. Applicant is required to particularly point out the recited features.

Page 3

Claims 15 and 16 are rejected for the same reasons as claims 7 and 8, respectively.

Regarding claim 19, the specification fails to describe as to how the "current source biased by the pulsed or continuous bias current and controlled the input signal" is enabled. Figure 1 shows "an equivalent circuit" of the actual present invention. The equivalent circuit shows a symbol of a variable current (ibias) being received a symbolic gm signal. Page 11, lines 6-25; page 12, lines 1-25 of the specification uses waveforms diagrams of figures 2A to 2C discusses the slow and fast operational modes of the comparator. Figures 4A and 4B shows the detailed structure of the comparator. However, it is unclear as to how the current source being biased by the pulse or continuous bias current and controlled by the input current would correspond to the actual components of the actual comparator shown in figures 4A and 4B.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,169 Page 4

Art Unit: 2816

Therefore, it is unclear as to how current source biased by the pulsed or continuous bias current and controlled the input signal" is achieved without undue experimentation.

Claims 18 and 20 are rejected under 35USC 112, first paragraph because of the technical deficiencies of claim 17.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lim (USP 5,841,306). Figure 4 of Lim shows an integrated circuit comparator comprising an input receiving an input signal (current along collector/emitter of transistors Q8, Q9) representative of a difference between quantities to be compared (Vth, Vref), an input gain stage (Q8, Q9, R7, R8) receiving the input signal and biased with a pulsed bias current (current (b) at the collector of transistor Q7, the waveform of the current is shown in figure 5C. The current (b) is pulse current), the input gain stage producing a gain based upon the input signal as called for in claims 1, 9.

Regarding claims 2 and 10, the input signal is a current representative of transconductance of a differential pair of input transistors (Q8, Q9).

Regarding claims 3 and 11, the input gain stage further comprises a current source (Q5, Q7) biased by the pulsed bias current (the current version of the Vtri at the collector of transistor

Art Unit: 2816

Q2) and controlled by the input signal (/Tout is the inverted version of Tout where Tout is output version of the input signal, e.g., signal along collector and emitter of Q8).

4. Claims 1-3, 8-11 and 16, 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Heinrich (USP 6,323,695).

Figure 1 of Heinrich shows an integrated circuit comparator comprising an input receiving an input signal representative of a difference between quantities to be compared (U and Vref), an input gain stage (T1, T2, T3, Sp4) receiving the input signal and biased with a pulsed bias current (Ic current signal at node S, the waveform of the current is shown in figure 3. The current is a pulse current), the input gain stage producing a gain based upon the input signal as called for in claims 1, 9 and 17.

Regarding claims 2 and 10, the input signal is a current representative of transconductance of a differential pair of input transistors (T1, T2).

Regarding claims 3 and 11, the input gain stage further comprises a current source (Sp4) biased by the pulsed bias current (Ic at node S) and controlled by the input signal (U).

Regarding claims 8 and 16, wherein the comparator selectively operates in a first mode in which the input gain stage is biased by a bias current with a defined first level (figures 2 and 3, the constant current Ic1 at t0, t2 and t4 periods) or in a second mode in which the input gain stage is biased a bias current with a different second level value (pulsed current Ic1 at t1 and t3).

Regarding claim 17, figure 1 of Heinrich shows a comparator selectively operating in a first mode in which an input gain stage (T1, T, Sp5, Sp4) of the comparator is biased with a pulsed bias current (Ic1 is pulse in the periods t1, t3) and a second mode in which the input gain

Page 6

Art Unit: 2816

stage is biased with a continuous bias current (constant current at periods t0, t2 and t4 are supplied to the input gain stage in a second mode of operation).

Regarding claim 18, the input gain stage receives an input (U-Vref) representing the different between U and Vref and produces a gain based upon a current for he input signal representative of transconductance of a differential pair of input transistors (T1, T2).

Regarding claim 19, figure 1 shows the input gain stage further comprises a current source (Sp4) biased by the pulsed bias current (Ic at node S) and controlled by the input signal (U).

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 2/14/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- Regarding the rejection of claims 7-8 and 1-20 under 35USC 112, first paragraph, applicant argues that the recitation limitations are common features and are well within the purview of those of ordinary skill in the art to implement without undue experimentation is not persuasive. As noted above, regarding the limitation "the pulsed bias curent comprises a pulse at one edge of a system clock and an output of the comparator is sampled at another edge of the system clock" of claim 7, the box 301 shown in figure 3 does not have a clock signal as input to the circuit. Similarly, the comparator 100 does not show the clock signal. Therefore, it is unclear as to how the pulsed bias curent comprises a pulse at one edge of a system clock and an output of the comparator is sampled at another edge of the system clock is performed. Thus, it requires an undue experimentation beyond common knowledge of one skilled in the art to implement the recited limitations. Clarification and correction are required.

Art Unit: 2816

Therefore, the rejection of claims 7-8 and 15-20 under 35USC 112, first paragraph is deemed to be proper.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 4-6 and 12-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. In this regard, applicant's cited prior art has been carefully considered.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan T. Lam whose telephone number is 571-272-1744. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday (7:30 am to 6:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, TIMOTHY P CALLAHAN can be reached on 571-272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tuan T. Lam
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2816